辯人管理的,相關廣告自然和合理地讓公眾 人士理解為是由答辯人發出、或同意發出的。 事實上該兩間地產代理公司在發出該些住宅 物業廣告前,並未取得賣方的書面同意,違反 了《地產代理常規(一般責任及香港住宅物 業)規例》第9(2)條的規定。

考慮到個案的性質及兩間地產代理公司的違規紀錄,紀律委員會決定譴責該兩間地產代理公司,並分別罰款125,000港元及20,500港元。

該兩間地產代理公司不服判決作出上訴。上 訴審裁小組經詳細研究文件及聆訊中雙方觀 點後同意監管局作為規管持牌地產代理及營 業員的唯一法定機構,保障公眾利益是必然 及必須的,故以一般合乎公眾人士的認知作 為違規情況的考量基礎之一亦屬合理,最終 維持監管局紀律委員會之判決,駁回兩間地 產代理公司的上訴。 evidence to prove that the online property platform was managed by the estate agency companies concerned, those advertisements naturally and reasonably led members of the public to believe that they were issued by or with the consent of the estate agency companies. In fact, both estate agency companies failed to obtain the vendor's written consent prior to the issuance of those residential property advertisements and were in breach of section 9(2) of the Estate Agents Practice (General Duties and Hong Kong Residential Properties) Regulation.

Having considered the nature of the case and the disciplinary record of the estate agency companies, the Committee decided to reprimand the two estate agency companies and imposed a fine of HK\$125,000 and HK\$20,500 respectively.

Both estate agency companies lodged an appeal against the decision of the EAA Disciplinary Committee. After detailed examination of the submissions and the points raised by both parties at the hearing, the Appeal Tribunal opined that, being the sole regulator of the licenced estate agents and salespersons, it is imperative and necessary for the EAA to protect the public interest. Therefore, it is justifiable for the EAA to consider the public's perception and use it as one of the basis to determine whether there had been any non-compliance, and thus the decision of the EAA Disciplinary Committee was upheld.



潘達恒先生 香港地產代理商總會主席 Mr Jacob POON Tat-hang Chairman of Hong Kong Real Estate Agencies General Association

業界意見 Comment from trade

地產代理公司應要提升內部的監管質素,更密切地監察員工在網上平台發布 的廣告內容,為發布網上廣告訂立更明確的守則,例如規定員工在發布廣告 前,須取得廣告部負責人的同意。地產代理在發布一手住宅物業廣告前,應了 解發展商的具體銷售安排,取得相關資料及書面同意後,才發布廣告。

Estate agency companies should enhance the quality of their internal monitoring mechanisms. They should closely monitor the content of advertisements issued by their staff and establish clearer guidelines on issuing advertisements on online platforms, such as requiring their staff to seek prior approval from the head of the advertising department. Estate agents should understand the sales arrangements of the developer, obtain relevant information and written consent prior to issuing any advertisements for first-hand residential properties.

刑事個案 Criminal case

使用虛假文書以申請地產代理牌照 Using forged document in connection with an application of an estate agent's licence

引言

Introduction

根據《地產代理(發牌)規例》7(1)(a),只有 符合已完成中學五年級教育或同等程度的教 Under Section 7(1)(a) of the Estate Agents (Licensing) Regulation, no licence shall be granted to an individual unless he has completed an

育條件的個人方可獲批給牌照。《地產代理 條例》(《條例》)55(1)(d)訂明,若任何 人在申請批給牌照或牌照續期時,作出任何 虛假或具誤導性的陳述或提供任何虛假或具 誤導性的資料,即屬違法。有關罪行,一經 循公訴程序定罪,可處第6級罰款及/或監禁 1年;如循簡易程序定罪,可處第5級罰款 及/或監禁6個月。 education level of Form 5 of secondary or its equivalent. Section 55(1)(d) of the Estate Agents Ordinance ("EAO") makes it an offence punishable by a fine at level 6 and/or imprisonment for 1 year on conviction upon indictment or by a fine at level 5 and/or imprisonment for 6 months on summary conviction if a person makes any false or misleading statement or furnishes any false or misleading information in connection with an application for the grant or renewal of a licence.

事件經過

監管局收到一宗營業員牌照的申請,申請 人向監管局遞交了一份內地中學的畢業證 書。監管局核實該申請人的學歷時,發現 在該校的畢業生紀錄中找不到該申請人的 姓名,證書上的校長姓名亦與當時的紀錄 不符,而該校提供的資料亦指申請人並非 該校的學生。監管局懷疑該張畢業證書為 虛假文件,由於表面證據顯示申請人的學 歷證書涉嫌屬虛假文書,違反《條例》第 55(1)(d)的規定,遂將個案轉介警方處理。

Incident

The EAA received an application for the grant of a salesperson's licence and the applicant submitted a graduation certificate of a middle school in Mainland. The EAA conducted verification on the applicant's educational attainment and found that the applicant's name could not be found in the school's record of graduates and the name of the school principal printed on the certificate did not match the record at the material time. The EAA also received information from the school that the applicant was not one of the school students. The EAA suspected the graduation certificate is a false document and referred the case to the Police on the basis that there was prima facie evidence showing that the applicant was in breach of Section 55(1)(d) of the EAO that her educational qualification document is suspected to be a false instrument.

結果

警方完成調查後,涉案人被法庭裁定「使用 虛假文書」的罪名成立,判處200小時社會服 務令及留有刑事紀錄。涉案人不但未能成功 申請牌照,同時在一般而言,局方在五年內都 將不會批出其牌照申請。

Result

Upon the completion of investigation by the Police, the subject person was convicted of "using forged document" and was sentenced to a community service order of 200 hours with a criminal record by the court. The subject not only failed her licence application in this case but her licence application will normally be refused within a period of five years.



郭昶先生 香港地產代理專業協會會長 **Mr Anthony KWOK** President of Society of Hong Kong Real Estate Agents

業界意見 Comment from trade

誠信是作為地產代理的基本素質,申請地產代理牌照時必須如實及準確地 申報自己的個人資料(包括學歷),希望當事人可以深切反省這次事件的教 訓。任何有意申請地產代理或營業員牌照的人士,都須緊記要遵守《地產 代理條例》的規定,切勿在申請牌照的過程中提供虛假資料。

Integrity is one of the basic qualities of being an estate agent. One must truthfully and accurately declare one's personal information (including academic qualifications) when applying for an estate agent's licence. I hope the subject person can learn his lessons from this incident. Anyone who intends to apply for an estate agent's or a salesperson's licence should comply with the requirements of the Estate Agents Ordinance and refrain from providing false information in the process of applying for a licence.

專業天地